LOIS J. SCHIFFER FILED CLERK U.S. DISTRICT CO Assistant Attorney General Environment and Natural 2 Resources Division JUN 1 5 1992 ROBERT KLOTZ Senior Attorney Environmental Enforcement Section 4 Environment and Natural 5 Resources Division United States Department of Justice 6 301 Howard Street, Suite 870 San Francisco, California 94105 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT 7 Telephone: (415) 744-6491 NORA M. MANELLA JUN 1 6 1998 United States Attorney LEON W. WEIDMAN Assistant United States Attorney CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALLE Chief, Civil Division MONICA L. MILLER 11 | Assistant United States Attorney Room 7516, Federal Building A prince to the state of the st 300 North Los Angeles Street 12 Los Angeles, California 90012 13 Telephone: (213) 894-4061 THIS CONSTITUTES NOTICE OF ENTRY THIS CONSTITUTES NUTLE TO HE.

AS REQUIRED BY FRCP, RULE 77 HE.

THE CENTRAL Attorneys for Plaintiff 14 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 15 CLERK, U.S. CHOTTOT COURT 14 OR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA JUN - 3 1998 17 WESTERN DIVISION CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DEPUTY UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, NO. CV 97-1811-JSL(CTx) 20 Plaintiff, CONSENT DECREE 21 v. 22 YOUSSEF MIKHAIL-FARD, a.k.a. Joe Mikhail, 23 Defendant. 24 25 WHEREAS Plaintiff, the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, on behalf 26 of the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), has filed a 27 28 MLM: oh: CONSENTI.DEC

Complaint against Defendant, YOUSSEF MIKHAIL-FARD (hereafter "MIKHAIL-FARD"), alleging Four Claims for Relief under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation & Liability Act ("CERCLA");

WHEREAS, the First Claim for Relief alleges that EPA incurred response costs and that MIKHAIL-FARD is liable for those costs under Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a); the Second Claim for Relief alleges that MIKHAIL-FARD is liable for treble damages under Section 107(c)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(c)(3); the Third Claim for Relief alleges that MIKHAIL-FARD is liable for civil penalties under Section 106(b) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9606(b); and the Fourth Claim for Relief alleges that MIKHAIL-FARD is liable for civil penalties under Section 104(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e);

WHEREAS, this Consent Decree was negotiated and executed by the Parties to avoid the continuation of expensive and protracted litigation; and

WHEREAS, MIKHAIL-FARD and the UNITED STATES do hereby consent to entry of this Consent Decree as the most appropriate means of resolving the action.

THEREFORE upon the consent and agreement of the parties to this Consent Decree, it is ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and the parties pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1345 and 1355, and 42 U.S.C. §§ 9604, 9606, 9607, and 9613(b) and (g). Venue is properly in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and 42

U.S.C. § 9613(b) because MIKHAIL-FARD resides in the Central District of California.

II. PARTIES BOUND

- 2. The provisions of this Consent Decree shall apply to and be binding on: (a) MIKHAIL-FARD, his heirs and assigns, and (b) the UNITED STATES, acting on behalf of the Environmental Protection Agency. MIKHAIL-FARD hereby certifies that he is competent to enter into and execute this Consent Decree, and to. legally bind himself to its terms. In any action to enforce this Consent Decree, MIKHAIL-FARD shall not raise as a defense to enforcement the failure by any of his agents, servants, contractors, employees, attorneys, heirs or assigns to take actions necessary to comply with this Consent Decree.
- 3. MIKHAIL-FARD and the UNITED STATES agree to be bound by this Consent Decree and the parties agree not to contest its validity in any subsequent proceeding to implement or enforce its terms.

III. SETTLEMENT PAYMENT

- 4. Within thirty (30) calendar days after the Court's entry of this Consent Decree as a final order and judgment in favor of the UNITED STATES, MIKHAIL-FARD shall pay FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$50,000.00) in full and final settlement of the civil claims alleged in the Complaint in this action.
- 5. If MIKHAIL-FARD fails to make the payment as required by Paragraph 4 above, this Consent Decree shall be considered an enforceable judgment in favor of the United States for \$309,000 (the amount of the United States' response costs incurred in connection with the Mission Plating Company site) for purposes of

post-judgment collection, and interest, in accordance with Rule 69 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and other applicable federal authority. MIKHAIL-FARD shall pay any interest accrued on the balance of any unpaid amounts due under this Consent Decree, at the rate of 6.5% per annum, commencing on the date that such amounts were due. Further, MIKHAIL-FARD shall be liable for all fees and costs incurred by the UNITED STATES to collect any amounts due under this Consent Decree, provided the-UNITED STATES prevails in any proceeding to collect any amount due.

6. MIKHAIL-FARD shall make the payment due under Paragraph 4 above in the form of a certified or cashier's check, made payable to "EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund." The letter transmitting the check shall reference this Consent Decree, the caption and case number of this case, USAO File Number 9602862, EPA REGION IX and the Site Spill ID Number 9T 8E, and DOJ Case Number 90-11-2-1192. MIKHAIL-FARD shall send the transmittal letter and check or checks to:

United States Environmental Protection Agency Region IX Superfund Accounting P.O. Box 360863M Pittsburgh, PA 15251

7. MIKHAIL-FARD shall not deduct the amount paid under this Settlement Payment from his federal, state, or local income taxes.

IV. CERTIFICATION OF FINANCIAL INFORMATION

8. As to the financial information submitted and disclosed to the United States by MIKHAIL-FARD prior to the commencement of this litigation and throughout the course of the litigation,

MIKHAIL-FARD certifies by his signature below that the financial information provided by him was true, correct, and accurate to the best of his knowledge, as of the date it was provided.

MIKHAIL-FARD further certifies that, to the best of his knowledge, there has not been any substantial or material change to his financial condition that would affect the truthfulness, accuracy, or correctness or the previously submitted information. If either of the above certifications, upon which the United . States is relying, is incorrect, the dismissal to be provided pursuant to Paragraph 9, below, shall be void.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

V. <u>DISMISSAL OF CLAIMS</u>

9. Upon the United States' receipt of all amounts due under Paragraphs 4 and 5 of this Consent Decree, this action shall be dismissed with prejudice. Within twenty days of receipt of payment, the United States will file a dismissal of all pending claims with the Court. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed as a release or covenant not to sue for any claim or cause of action, administrative or judicial, civil or criminal, past or future, in law or equity, which the UNITED STATES may have against MIKHAIL-FARD for any violations of (1) any provision of this Consent Decree or (2) any statute, law, or regulation whatsoever, other than the violations alleged in the action. The UNITED STATES shall not assert that this Consent Decree provides the UNITED STATES with any admission of liability or evidence of admission of liability in any claim or cause of action, judicial or administrative, civil or criminal, past or future, in law or equity, which the UNITED STATES has or may have against MIKHAIL-FARD.

VI. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

10. This Court retains jurisdiction to enforce this Consent Decree until such time as the provisions of this consent decree have been satisfied.

VII. EFFECTIVE DATE

11. This Consent Decree shall become effective upon entry of this Consent Decree by this Court.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that the Consent Decree in <u>United States v.</u>

<u>Youssef Mikhail-Fard</u>, Civil Case No. 97-1811-JSL (CTx) be entered.

DATED: 6-15, 1998

J. SPENCER LETTS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE HON. J. SPENCER LETTS

Agreed to by the parties as indicated by the signatures below:

Dated: 5/26, 1998

JOZL GROSS

Section Chief

Environmental Enforcement Section Environment and Natural Resources Division

United States Department of Justice

Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Dated: _______, 1998

NORA M. MANELLA United States Attorney LEON W. WEIDMAN Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Civil Division

Monica L Miller

MONICA L. MILLER
Assistant United States Attorney

Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Dated: 4pril 29 , 1998

KEITH A TAKATA

Director, Superfund Division United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

1		
2	,	
3	Dated:	
4	Dated:, 1998	
5		
6		
7	Such But I' ()	
8	YOUSSEF MIKHAIL-FARD	
9	Defendant	
10		
11		
12		
13	Dated: 4-22-98 , 1998	
14	JAFFE, TRUTANICH, SCATENA & BI	JUM
15		
16		
17	\bigcap	
18	CARMEN TRUTANICH, ESQ.	····
19	Attorneys for Defendant	
20	YOUSSEF MIKHAIL-FARD	
21		
22		•
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		